



Pauli van Wyk <pauli@dailymaverick.co.za>

Scorpio questions: SARS

Spender, Andrew <Andrew.Spender@gartner.com>
To: Pauli van Wyk <pauli@dailymaverick.co.za>

Tue, Sep 18, 2018 at 3:01 PM

Pauli,

Thanks for your note.

We don't have anything further to add to our original statement.

Kind regards,

Andrew

From: Pauli van Wyk <pauli@dailymaverick.co.za>
Date: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 at 6:02 AM
To: Andrew Spender <Andrew.Spender@gartner.com>
Subject: Fwd: Scorpio questions: SARS

Dear Andrew,

I have not heard anything from you on this matter.

Kindly respond to the specific questions I sent.

regards,

Pauli

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Pauli van Wyk** <pauli@dailymaverick.co.za>

Date: Fri, Sep 14, 2018 at 12:32 PM
Subject: Re: Scorpio questions: SARS
To: "Spender,Andrew" <Andrew.Spender@gartner.com>

Dear Andrew,

You are not reacting to very specific questions.

Gartner must answer each question that speaks directly to a specific topic.

Question five refers to the statements made in the email. In this question, Gartner needs to specifically answer to the statements made.

A blanket answer attempting to catch, and yet evade, all is not sufficient.

Regards,

Pauli

On Fri, Sep 14, 2018 at 3:45 AM, Spender,Andrew <Andrew.Spender@gartner.com> wrote:

Pauli,

Thanks for your note. Please find below a statement you can reference in your article, which I hope you'll see clarifies and corrects some of the points in your email.

Gartner strives to maintain strict compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and standards in each of the markets and jurisdictions in which it operates. As a global leader known for providing clients with advice and tools to achieve their mission-critical priorities, Gartner was engaged by SARS to conduct an in-depth analysis of its IT organization. SARS informed us that our contract was reviewed and approved by the Department of the National Treasury, and our initial project assessment was reviewed by the SARS independent advisory committee, comprised of members of the judiciary, business community and academia, among others. In compliance with Black Economic Empowerment (BEE), we engaged Rangewave, a local vendor we identified from a list approved by the State Information Technology Agency (SITA).

Hope this helps.

Best, Andrew

From: Pauli van Wyk <pauli@dailymaverick.co.za>

Date: Thursday, September 13, 2018 at 4:22 AM

To: Andrew Spender <Andrew.Spender@gartner.com>, Tom McCall

<Thomas.McCall@gartner.com>, "Morris,Sarah" <Sarah.Morris@gartner.com>, "Willemse,Neville" <Neville.Willemse@gartner.com>
Subject: Scorpio questions: SARS

Good day,

I am a journalist for Scorpio, Daily Maverick. We're doing research relating to your IT and modernisation strategy review contract with SARS for the period commencing 1 April 2014.

The following came to my attention:

1. Gartner received approximately R202-million from SARS;
2. Gartner's partner Rangewave received 40% of this amount, approximately R80-million;
3. Ms. Annelie Frost was the Account Manager for Gartner while Mr. Jonas Makwakwa was the SARS project sponsor;
4. The contract between Gartner and SARS contained provisions relating to sub-contracting – Gartner was not permitted to sub-contract its work under this agreement without the written consent of SARS;
5. SARS' records indicate that no such consent to sub-contract was sought from SARS, nor granted;
6. Mr. Tom Moyane participated in the final approval of this tender;
7. Mr. Patrick Monyeki was a director of Rangewave for the period February 2011 to March 2018. He is still the majority shareholder of Rangewave;
8. Mr. Moyane and Mr. Makwakwa informally communicated to Gartner that the company will receive the tender if Rangewave is its partner;
9. A cursory Google exercise will prove that Mr. Moyane and Mr. Monyeki are longstanding friends and business partners.

Note that SARS is a government institution and not a private sector client.
Answer the following questions by 11:00 tomorrow, 14 September.

Regards,

Pauli

My questions to Gartner:

1. What is the relationship between Mr. Monyeki and Gartner, including Mr. Neville Willemse?
2. Gartner would not have received the SARS tender was it not for Rangewave's involvement. Why did Gartner wilfully kept official information about its partner from SARS?
3. Percentage wise, how much did Rangewave contribute to the finalisation of the SARS contract?
4. Will Gartner testify before the Nugent Commission of Inquiry into SARS?
5. What is your comment on the above?

If you are not the intended recipient or have received this message in error, please notify the sender and permanently delete this message and any attachments.

